



ICLG

The International Comparative Legal Guide to:

Public Procurement 2015

7th Edition

A practical cross-border insight into public procurement

Published by Global Legal Group, with contributions from:

Advokatfirmaet Thommessen AS

Allen & Gledhill LLP

Anderson Mori & Tomotsune

Andreas Neocleous & Co LLC

Ashurst LLP

Baker & McKenzie

CMS Cameron McKenna LLP

Debarliev, Dameski & Kelesoska Attorneys at Law

DeHeng Law Offices

Dentons

Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP

Gürlich & Co., attorneys-at-law

Ibáñez Parkman Abogados

KALO & ASSOCIATES

Kruk & Partners Law Firm

Latournerie Wolfrom & Associés

Ledwaba Mazwai

Lenz & Staehelin

M & M Bomchil

Mannheimer Swartling Advokatbyrå AB

McCann FitzGerald

McCarthy Tétrault, LLP

Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados

Pareja & Associats, Advocats

Philippi, Yrarrázaval, Pulido & Brünner

Schoenherr

S. Friedman & Co.

Stibbe

VASS Lawyers

GLG

Global Legal Group

GLG

Global Legal Group

Contributing Editors

Donald Slater & Edward McNeill, Ashurst LLP

Head of Business Development

Dror Levy

Sales Director

Florjan Osmani

Commercial Director

Antony Dine

Account Directors

Oliver Smith, Rory Smith

Senior Account Manager

Maria Lopez

Sales Support Manager

Toni Hayward

Sub Editor

Amy Hirst

Senior Editor

Suzie Levy

Group Consulting Editor

Alan Falach

Group Publisher

Richard Firth

Published by

Global Legal Group Ltd.
59 Tanner Street
London SE1 3PL, UK
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720
Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk
URL: www.glgroup.co.uk

GLG Cover Design

F&F Studio Design

GLG Cover Image Source

iStockphoto

Printed by

Ashford Colour Press Ltd.
December 2014

Copyright © 2014

Global Legal Group Ltd.
All rights reserved
No photocopying

ISBN 978-1-910083-26-0

ISSN 1757-2789

Strategic Partners



General Chapter:

1	EU Public Procurement Rules - Donald Slater & Edward McNeill, Ashurst LLP	1
---	--	---

Country Question and Answer Chapters:

2	Albania	KALO & ASSOCIATES: Endri Jorgoni	10
3	Argentina	M & M Bomchil: María Inés Corrá	18
4	Australia	Baker & McKenzie: Geoff Wood & Anne Petterd	25
5	Austria	Schoenherr: Johannes Stalzer	32
6	Belgium	Stibbe: David D'Hooghe & Arne Carton	40
7	Bulgaria	CMS Cameron McKenna LLP: Kostadin Sirleshtov & Angel Bangachev	47
8	Canada	McCarthy Tétrault, LLP: Brenda C. Swick	55
9	Chile	Philippi, Yrarrázaval, Pulido & Brünner: José Luis Lara & Luis Eugenio García-Huidobro	66
10	China	DeHeng Law Offices: Ding Liang	73
11	Cyprus	Andreas Neocleous & Co LLC: Chrysanthos Christoforou	80
12	Czech Republic	Gürlich & Co., attorneys-at-law: Richard Gürlich & Jan Bárta	87
13	England & Wales	Ashurst LLP: Donald Slater & Edward McNeill	94
14	France	Latournerie Wolfrom & Associés: Jean Latournerie & Karine Hennette-Jaouen	106
15	Germany	Dentons: Dr. Maria Brakalova	113
16	Ireland	McCann FitzGerald: Jenny Mellerick & Orlaith Sheehy	121
17	Israel	S. Friedman & Co.: Gil Hagay	129
18	Japan	Anderson Mori & Tomotsune: Reiji Takahashi & Makoto Terazaki	136
19	Macedonia	Debarliev, Dameski & Kelesoska Attorneys at Law: Jasmina Ilieva Jovanovik & Dragan Dameski	142
20	Mexico	Ibáñez Parkman Abogados: Juan Fernando Ibáñez Montaña & José Álvarez Márquez	150
21	Norway	Advokatfirmaet Thommessen AS: Eivind J Vesterkjær & Kirti Mahajan Thomassen	155
22	Poland	Kruk & Partners Law Firm: Aleksandra Matwiejko-Demusiak & Jaroslaw Kruk	162
23	Portugal	Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados: Margarida Olazabal Cabral & Ana Robin de Andrade	173
24	Romania	VASS Lawyers: Iulia Vass & Bianca Bello	181
25	Singapore	Allen & Gledhill LLP: Kelvin Wong & Tan Wee Meng	192
26	South Africa	Ledwaba Mazwai: Metja Ledwaba & Lungile Mazwai	200
27	Spain	Pareja & Associats, Advocats: Carles Pareja Lozano & Norma Munné Forgas	207
28	Sweden	Mannheimer Swartling Advokatbyrå AB: Johan Carle & Niklas Sjöblom	221
29	Switzerland	Lenz & Staehelin: Dr. Astrid Waser & Dr. Benoît Merkt	226
30	USA	Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP: James J. McCullough & Michael J. Anstett	233

Further copies of this book and others in the series can be ordered from the publisher. Please call +44 20 7367 0720

Disclaimer

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice. Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication. This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations.

EDITORIAL

Welcome to the seventh edition of *The International Comparative Legal Guide to: Public Procurement*.

This guide provides the international practitioner and in-house counsel with a comprehensive worldwide legal analysis of the laws and regulations of public procurement.

It is divided into two main sections:

One general chapter. This chapter outlines EU public procurement rules.

Country question and answer chapters. These provide a broad overview of common issues in public procurement laws and regulations in 29 jurisdictions.

All chapters are written by leading public procurement lawyers and industry specialists and we are extremely grateful for their excellent contributions.

Special thanks are reserved for the contributing editors Donald Slater and Edward McNeill of Ashurst LLP for their invaluable assistance.

Global Legal Group hopes that you find this guide practical and interesting.

The *International Comparative Legal Guide* series is also available online at www.iclg.co.uk.

Alan Falach LL.M.
Group Consulting Editor
Global Legal Group
Alan.Falach@glgroup.co.uk

Portugal

Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles,
Soares da Silva & Associados

Margarida Olazabal Cabral



Ana Robin de Andrade



1 Relevant Legislation

1.1 What is the relevant legislation and in outline what does each piece of legislation cover?

The main relevant piece of legislation is the “Public Contracts Code” (hereinafter “PCC”), approved by Decree-Law no. 18/2008, of 29 January, amended by Decree-law no. 278/2009, of 20 October and Decree-Law no. 149/2012, of 12 July. The PCC, which transposed Directives 2004/18/CE and 2004/17/CE, covers public procurement rules and the material regime regarding public contracts.

There are other relevant diplomas, namely:

- the Administrative Procedural Code (approved by Decree-Law no. 442/91, of 15 November), which contains the general rules on administrative procedures;
- the Procedural Code of the Administrative Courts (approved by Law no. 15/2002, of 22 February), which contains the rules on litigation regarding pre-contractual procedures and public contracts; and
- Ordinance no. 701-A/2008, of 29 July to Ordinance 701-J/2008 of 29 July, which regulate several aspects referred to in the PCC.

1.2 Are there other areas of national law, such as government transparency rules, that are relevant to public procurement?

The general rules on administrative procedures (comprised in the Administrative Procedural Code) apply on a subsidiary basis to public procurement and certain transparency rules are contained therein.

1.3 How does the regime relate to supra-national regimes including the GPA, EU rules and other international agreements?

The PCC transposes EU Directives no. 2004/17/CE and 2004/18/CE, of 31 March.

Pursuant to the Constitution, EU law and international agreements prevail over national law.

1.4 What are the basic underlying principles of the regime (e.g. value for money, equal treatment, transparency) and are these principles relevant to the interpretation of the legislation?

The basic underlying principles are those of transparency, equal treatment and competition. The referred to principles are relevant since they constitute limits to the activity of the contracting authorities, as well as guidelines as to how the rules ought to be interpreted.

1.5 Are there special rules in relation to procurement in specific sectors or areas?

Yes, the PPC has special rules regarding procurement by awarding entities in the water, energy, transports and postal services sectors.

Regarding defence, a specific legal framework is provided by Decree-Law no. 104/2011, of 6 October. It transposed to Portuguese Law the EU Directive 2009/81/EC. This legal framework is applicable to purchase contracts for military and sensitive equipment, contracts for public works, supply contracts and contracts to provide services regarding military and sensitive equipment, as well as contracts for public works, supply contracts and contracts to provide services aiming to pursue military goals.

2 Application of the Law to Entities and Contracts

2.1 Which public entities are covered by the law (as purchasers)?

Article 2 of the PCC considers as awarding entities:

- The Portuguese State.
- Autonomous Regions.
- Local authorities.
- Public institutes.
- Public foundations.
- Public associations or associations financed and controlled by the above-mentioned entities.
- Bodies governed by public law (any legal entity, either public or private, specifically created to satisfy needs of general nature, whose economic activity is not subject to the

logic of market competition and that are mainly financed or controlled or have the majority of the management or supervisory board members appointed by the entities referred to above).

- Legal entities which are mainly financed or controlled or have the majority of the management or supervisory board members appointed by a body governed by public law.
- Associations where one or more body governed by public law or entity referred to in the previous paragraph are a part of, as long as they are mainly financed, controlled or have the majority of the members of their board of directors or supervisory body, directly or indirectly, appointed by such entities.

Article 7 of the PCC also considers as awarding entities:

- Any legal entities not included in article 2 that pursue their activity in the water, energy, transports or postal services sector, regarding which any of the entities referred to in article 2 may exercise, directly or indirectly, a dominant influence.
- Any legal entities not included in article 2 that enjoy special or exclusive rights, not granted through an international tender procedure, whereby such rights:
 - reserve to such entity, either solely or together with another entity, the exercise of one or several activities in the water, energy, transports or postal services sector; and
 - substantially affect the capacity of any other entity to exercise one or more of those activities.
- Any legal entities composed exclusively by awarding entities referred to in article 7 or that are mainly financed, controlled or have the majority of the members of their board of directors or supervisory body, directly or indirectly, appointed by the such entities, and exercise their activity in the water, energy, transports or postal services sector.

Please note that entities that do not qualify as awarding entities pursuant to articles 2 and 7 of the PCC may be subject to PCC procurement rules if the contracts are to be subsidised by more than 50% by any of the entities referred to in article 2.

Public work concessionaires that are not awarding entities may be subject to certain procurement rules when contracting public works contracts.

Entities not qualified as awarding entities that have special or exclusive rights in the exercise of public service activities granted by any of the awarding entities referred to in articles 2 and 7 of the PCC must respect the principle of non-discrimination in terms of nationality.

2.2 Which private entities are covered by the law (as purchasers)?

Please see the previous question.

2.3 Which types of contracts are covered?

The PCC is applicable to all contracts whose object is or may be subject to competition. In that regard, the PCC specially states that the following contracts are considered to be subject to competition: public works contracts; concessions of public works; concessions of public services; lease or supply of goods; rendering of services; and company incorporation contracts.

2.4 Are there financial thresholds for determining individual contract coverage?

Yes. Depending on the choice of the award procedure, there may be a threshold for individual contract coverage (articles 19 to 21 of the PCC).

- Open and restricted tender with pre-qualification

For public works, the open or restricted tender procedures may be adopted irrespective of the contract value if the notice is published in the Official Journal of the European Union (OJEU). If the notice is not published in the OJEU, the contract value cannot exceed €5,186,000.

The same applies to the lease and supply of goods contracts and services contracts. In this case, though, if the announcement is not published in the OJEU, the contract value cannot exceed €207,000. In addition, if the awarding entity is the State and, once again, the notice is not published in the OJEU, the contract value cannot exceed €134,000.

- Urgent public tender

This procedure allows the awarding authority to lease and to purchase, as a matter of urgency, commonly used goods or services, the adoption of which depends on two issues:

- the award criteria must be the lowest price; and
- the contract value is inferior to €207,000, except if the awarding authority is the State, in which case the contract value cannot exceed €134,000.

- Direct award

For public works contracts, the direct award procedure may be chosen if the contract value is inferior to €150,000.

For the supply and lease of goods, as well as service contracts, the contract value must be inferior to €75,000.

As to other types of contracts – excluding concession of public works and services and company incorporation contracts – the direct award procedure can only be chosen if the contract value is inferior to €100,000.

Nevertheless, in some cases, a direct award may be adopted irrespective of the contract value, namely when:

- No competitor has presented any proposal or all proposals have been rejected in a previous open or restricted tender, as long as the specifications and the minimum technical requirements are not substantially altered.
- In cases of urgency, where the deadlines regarding other procedures cannot be met due to unforeseeable events, provided that the circumstances are not attributable to the awarding entity.
- For technical or artistic reasons or due to the protection of exclusive rights, the contract can only be granted to a determined entity.

Please note that the awarding entities referred to in article 7 of the PCC are only subject to the procedures of the PCC for what concerns the following contracts:

- Regarding public works, contracts equal to or above €5,186,000.
- Regarding leasing or supply of goods, contracts equal to or above of €414,000.
- Regarding acquisition of services, contracts equal to or above €414,000.
- Regarding public works and public service concessions, all contracts.

2.5 Are there aggregation and/or anti-avoidance rules?

Contract value is the main criteria for choosing the award procedure and the PCC has a very wide notion of contract value to prevent procurement avoidance.

Also, the PCC establishes, as a general rule, that when obligations of the same kind, which could be included in a single contract, are divided into several separate contracts, the total value of those contracts cannot exceed the value allowed by the correspondent award procedure had only one contract been signed.

2.6 Are there special rules for concession contracts and, if so, how are such contracts defined?

As a general rule, these contracts should be awarded by a public or restricted tender by pre-qualification or by a negotiation procedure.

A public works concession is defined as a contract whereby a contracting party undertakes to build or to design and build a public work, receiving, in consideration, the right to exploit it for a certain period of time and, if so determined, the right to the payment of a price.

Public service concession is defined as a contract whereby a contracting party undertakes to manage, in its own name and under its responsibility, an activity of public service, during a certain period of time, being paid by the financial results of such management or directly by the grantor.

2.7 Are there special rules for the conclusion of framework agreements?

In broad terms, general rules on procurement are applicable to the conclusion of a framework agreement.

In any case, the choice of a procedure for the execution of a framework agreement pursuant to articles 19 to 21 of the PCC (please see question 2.4 above), only allows the execution of contracts pursuant to the framework agreement as long as the sum of contractual prices of all contracts are inferior to the thresholds set therein.

2.8 Are there special rules on the division of contracts into lots?

Yes. When obligations of the same kind, which could be included in a single contract, are divided into several lots, each one corresponding to a separate contract, the choice of the direct award, of public tender or restricted tender by previous qualification without publication of a notice in the OJEU, only enables the execution of a contract regarding each lot if:

- a) the sum of the maximum prices of all the contracts to be entered into, when formation of the same occurs simultaneously, is inferior to the amounts referred to in articles 19, 20 and 21 of the PCC (see question 2.4 above); or
- b) the sum of all contractual prices regarding contracts already entered into and maximum prices of all procedures still in course, whenever the formation of these contracts takes place within one year after the beginning of the first procedure, is inferior to the amounts referred to in articles 19, 20 and 21 of the PCC (see question 2.4 above).

There are some exceptions to the above-mentioned rule.

3 Award Procedures

3.1 What types of award procedures are available? Please specify the main stages of each procedure and whether there is a free choice amongst them.

The PCC provides for the following main award procedures: (i) open tender; (ii) restricted tender (by previous qualification); (iii) direct award; (iv) negotiation procedure; and (v) competitive dialogue.

- (i) Open tender: this procedure starts with a publication of a notice. Any interested party may submit a bid which shall be assessed pursuant to the award criteria established in the tender documents. In that regard, the Jury shall prepare a report, subject to comments by the bidders, which shall constitute the grounds for the awarding of the successful tenderer by the awarding entity. In certain cases, an urgent open tender may take place.
- (ii) Restricted tender (by previous qualification): contrary to the open tender, not all interested parties may submit a bid. Applications are presented and only the parties that are considered as qualified candidates pursuant to certain technical and financial requirements are invited to submit bids.
- (iii) Direct award: procedure whereby the awarding entity invites one or more potential bidders to present their bids.
- (iv) Negotiation procedure: it is similar to the restricted tender, but the bids are negotiated between the bidders and the awarding entity.
- (v) Competitive dialogue: procedure whereby the awarding entity discusses the technical means, as well as the inherent legal and financial structure of the contract. It includes the following phases: submission of the applications together with the qualification of the candidates, the presentation of the solutions and dialogue with the qualified candidates, presentation and analysis of the proposals together with the award of the contract.

The cases where an open tender (and urgent open tender), a restricted tender (by previous qualification) and direct award can be chosen are referred to in question 2.4 above.

A negotiation procedure may be adopted for the following contracts:

- Public works contracts, lease or supply of goods and service contracts, when all the bids have been excluded on the basis of article 70, paragraph 2 in a previous open or restricted tender whose notice was published in the OJEU or in a competitive dialogue procedure, provided that the specifications are not substantially altered.
- Contracts whose nature does not allow prior overall maximum price fixing.
- Public works contracts whose object concerns investigation, experimentation or development, as long as its performance is not designed to ensure financial viability or refund investigation, experimentation or development costs.
- Acquisition of services contracts, namely intellectual or financial services set forth in category 6 of Annex II A of Directive 2004/18/CE, when the nature of such services does not allow the establishment of clear and precise contractual specifications.
- Contracts in which open or restricted tender without notice in the OJEU may be adopted.

The competitive dialogue is chosen in particularly complex contracts, where the adoption of an open or restricted tender is impossible.

3.2 What are the minimum timescales?

Minimum timescales to present applications or bids are established by the PCC. The awarding entity may broaden the timescales in the procedure documents, pursuant to article 63, paragraph 1 of the PCC.

Open tender: if the notice is not subject to publication in the OJEU, the minimum time limit to submit bids is nine days, unless the proceeding concerns the formation of public works contracts, in which case the time limit is 20 days. If the works are clearly simple, the 20 days' time limit can be reduced by 11 days. If the notice is subject to publication in the OJEU, the minimum time limit is 47 days. If the notice is sent electronically to publication, the timescale can be reduced by seven days. In the cases of urgent open tender, the time limit is 24 hours on weekdays.

Restricted tender: if the notice of the tender is not subject to publication, the time limit for presenting either the applications or the proposals is nine days, unless the proceeding concerns the formation of public works contracts. If the notice needs to be published in the OJEU, the time limit to present applications is 37 days, except if a concession of public works is involved. These time limits can be reduced by seven days if the notice is sent electronically to publication. The time limit to submit bids is 35 days after the invitation is sent.

Direct award: no minimum timescale.

Negotiation procedure: the minimum time limit for presenting the applications is 37 days. The time limit for presentation of the early versions of the proposals is that of the presentation of the proposals in the restricted tender.

Competitive dialogue: the time scale for presentation of the applications is the same as the restricted tender. As to the presentation of the solutions, no minimum time scale is defined in the PCC. The minimum time scale to present bids is 40 days.

3.3 What are the rules on excluding/short-listing tenderers?

The tenderers are excluded if any of the impediments to tender or submit an application, described under article 55 of the PCC, are verified (namely, if the tenderer is declared insolvent, if a member of the board of directors of the tenderer has been convicted of certain serious crimes or by a crime which affects his professional conduct, if the tenderer has been declared guilty of serious professional misconduct, if it has social security or tax debts, or if the tenderer rendered assistance to the awarding entity in the preparation of tender documents that granted it an advantage that distorts normal competition conditions).

In the restricted tender, the awarding entity may adopt one of two possible models to short-list tenderers: (i) the simple model, where qualification of the candidates is made by a simple pass or fail test on minimum technical or financial requirements; or (ii) the complex model, where selection of the candidates is made on the basis of the criteria of the larger technical and financial capacity, which implies an evaluation model. Short-listing in the negotiation procedure and in the competitive dialogue is the same as in the restricted procedure.

There is no short-listing of tenders in the open tender procedure or in the direct award.

3.4 What are the rules on evaluation of tenders?

There are two possible award criteria:

- The most economically advantageous tender.
- Lowest price.

The most economically advantageous tender criterion involves a mandatory evaluation model whereby only the factors subject to competition are reflected. The factors and sub-factors may not regard aspects, qualities, characteristics or other elements of facts regarding the bidders themselves. The model shall have a clear explanation of all the factors and sub-factors related to the contract execution subject to competition, weight of each factor and sub-factor, scoring scale to each elementary factor or sub-factor, as well as a mathematical expression or description of the ordered set of attributes that enable the granting of partial scores.

The lowest price criteria may only be adopted when price is the single item subjected to competition and the specifications rule all other aspects of the contract.

3.5 What are the rules on awarding the contract?

Please see question 3.4 above.

3.6 What are the rules on debriefing unsuccessful bidders?

The decision of the contract award is notified simultaneously to all bidders of the procedure together with the Final Report prepared by the Jury.

3.7 What methods are available for joint procurements?

The PCC provides for two methods.

Awarding entities may form a group of awarding entities in order to enter into a contract of their interest or into a framework agreement.

In addition, awarding entities referred in article 2 of the PCC may constitute purchasing centres in order to centralise the contracting of public works, supply of goods and services.

3.8 What are the rules on alternative/variant bids?

Variant bids may only be submitted if the tender documents allow such submission.

3.9 What are the rules on conflicts of interest?

The Administrative Procedural Code has rules on the guarantees of impartiality (articles 44 to 51). Such rules contain a provision stating that any holder or agent of a public administration body that has an interest, by himself or as a representative of other person, in an administrative procedure (namely, a public tender) is prohibited from participating or intervening in such procedure. According to the law, the person who is subject of the impediment should communicate it immediately and suspend his intervention in the procedure, otherwise a serious disciplinary penalty will result. The actions taken and the contracts concluded in violation of these rules are voidable under the law.

4 Exclusions and Exemptions (including in-house arrangements)

4.1 What are the principal exclusions/exemptions?

The PCC is not applicable to certain contracts, as follows:

- Certain contracts signed pursuant to international conventions to which the Portuguese State is a party or

within specific procedural rules of an international organisation to which Portugal is a member.

- Labour administrative contracts and individual employment contracts.
- Contracts for the donation of movable property in favour of any awarding entity.
- Contracts for the acquisition, donation, barter, lease of immovable property or similar contracts.
- Contracts for the acquisition, development, production or co-production of programmes aimed at broadcasting by broadcasting entities.
- Contracts whose object is not subject to market competition.
- “In-house” contracts (contracts entered into by an awarding entity with another entity whereby (i) the awarding entity has control, alone or together with other awarding entities, over such entity, which is similar to the control over its own services, and (ii) such entity carries the essentials of its activity in the benefit of one or several awarding entities that have such control over it).
- Contracts that have to be entered into with an awarding entity holding special rights.
- Sales contracts of movable property or of the supply of services by awarding entities referred to in article 2 no. 1, except when the other party is also an awarding entity.
- Grant and subsidy agreements.
- Incorporation of company contracts, whose capital is to be held exclusively by awarding entities referred in article 2, paragraph 1.
- Contracts of acquisition of financial services.
- Contracts of acquisition of health, social and educational services mentioned in appendix II-B of Directive no. 2004/18/CE.
- Contracts whose purpose is the satisfaction of the needs of an awarding entity whose services are located in a country which is not a party to the Agreement on the European Economic Area (EEA).
- Contracts signed pursuant to a development cooperation agreement with an entity located in a State party to such agreement, but not to the EEA, and to the benefit of such State.
- Contracts covered by article 346 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.

4.2 How does the law apply to “in-house” arrangements, including contracts awarded within a single entity, within groups and between public bodies?

Please see question 4.1 with regard to what concerns “in-house” contracts.

5 Remedies

5.1 Does the legislation provide for remedies and if so what is the general outline of this?

Articles 267 to 274 of the PCC provide for the administrative challenge of decisions taken within the contract formation procedure and of the tender documents. Administrative challenges must be presented in five working days and they do not have a stay effect on the procedure. In any case, until there is no decision on the administrative challenge, the following acts cannot be performed: a) qualification decision; b) beginning of the negotiation phase; and c) awarding decision. For judicial remedies, please see question 5.2 below.

5.2 Can remedies be sought in other types of proceedings or applications outside the legislation?

The Procedural Code of the Administrative Courts (PCAC) provides for judicial remedies: the challenge of tender documents and the challenge of administrative acts regarding pre-contractual procedure. These are urgent proceedings, only applicable to public works contracts, concessions of public works and supply of services and goods. Judicial challenge under the PCAC must be filed within one month after the notification of the act or, in its absence, of the knowledge of such act. Regarding other types of contracts, judicial challenge is also possible, but under the general regime of the PCAC. The PCAC also provides for specific injunctions in the pre-contractual field.

5.3 Before which body or bodies can remedies be sought?

The non-judicial remedies (administrative challenges) can be sought before the awarding entities, whilst the judicial remedies can be sought before the Administrative Courts.

5.4 What are the limitation periods for applying for remedies?

Please see questions 5.1 and 5.2.

5.5 What measures can be taken to shorten limitation periods?

No measures can be taken to shorten limitation periods.

5.6 What remedies are available after contract signature?

Judicial remedies are also available against the contract itself. The following persons have legal standing for such purpose:

- a party that has been jeopardised by the fact that legal pre-contractual procedure was not adopted;
- a party that has judicially challenged an administrative act regarding the formation of the contract;
- a party that, having participated in the pre-contractual procedure, considers that the contract does not correspond to the terms of the awarding; and
- a party that considers that the contract does not correspond to the specifications, which justifiably resulted in its non-participation in the procedure, although the necessary requirements for such effect were met.

5.7 What is the likely timescale if an application for remedies is made?

The timescale may vary according to the complexity of the case and of the administrative court itself.

5.8 What are the leading examples of cases in which remedies measures have been obtained?

Remedies measures are usually easily obtained whenever the chosen pre-contractual procedure is not the legal procedure considering the estimated value of the contract and whenever there is flagrant violation of procedural rules.

5.9 What mitigation measures, if any, are available to contracting authorities?

There are cases in which the awarding entities may not comply with the Court judgment: (i) when it is objectively impossible to do so; or (ii) when compliance would cause severe damages to the public interest.

In addition, articles 283 and 283-A of the PCC, which address annulment of the contract based on procedural defects, provide mitigation measures. The annulment effect may be unapplied by the Court when, considering the private and public interests at stake and the gravity of the violation of law, the annulment of the contract is considered by the Court as disproportional or contrary to good faith.

6 Changes During a Procedure and After a Procedure

6.1 Does the legislation govern changes to contract specifications, changes to the timetable, changes to contract conditions (including extensions) and changes to the membership of bidding consortia pre-contract award? If not, what are the underlying principles governing these issues?

The PCC allows amendment to the tender documents prior to the deadline for submission of tenders. If the amendment is substantial, there will be an extension of the deadline for submission of tenders.

After the submission of tenders and prior to the contract award, no substantial changes to the tender documents are allowed.

After the contract award, and before contract signature, some adjustments to the draft of the contract may be proposed by the awarding entity if:

- the adjustments are required for public interest reasons; and
- it is shown that the evaluation of tenders would have been the same had the adjustments been made before awarding the contract.

No changes to the membership of bidding consortia are allowed at the pre-contractual stage.

6.2 To what extent are changes permitted to final tenders (pre- and post-contract award)?

Please see question 6.1.

6.3 To what extent are changes permitted post-contract signature?

After the contract is signed, the contract may be changed if both parties so agree or by a court decision. The law also allows unilateral amendments of the contract by the awarding entity for public interest grounds.

The contract may be changed for public reason grounds or as a consequence of a change in circumstances. In any of the above referred cases, the changes of the contract must respect the pre-award competition and the object of the contract.

In certain cases, unilateral modification gives rise to the financial rebalancing of the contract.

6.4 To what extent does the legislation permit the transfer of a contract to another entity post-contract signature?

Transfer of the signed contract to another entity is not allowed:

- a) when the choice of the awarded entity was made by a direct award, where only one entity could be invited to bid;
- b) to entities included by impediments set forth in article 55 of the PPC; and
- c) where strong evidence exists that the transfer results from an act or agreement susceptible to falsify competition rules.

Except for the foregoing, transfer is possible, but it is subject to authorisation by the awarding entity. Authorisation depends upon:

- a) the previous submission by the potential transferee of all habilitation documents requested to the transferor; and
- b) the fulfilment, by the potential transferee, of minimum requirements regarding financial and technical capacity demanded of the transferor in the qualification phase, should that phase have occurred.

7 Privatisations and PPPs

7.1 Are there special rules in relation to privatisations and what are the principal issues that arise in relation to them?

Privatisations are not subject to the PCC. They are foreseen in Law no. 11/90, of 5 April, amended by the Law no. 50/2011, of 13 September, in case of “reprivatisations” (privatisation of an entity which was previously nationalised) and in Law no. 71/88, of 24 May, in the other cases. Both laws admit privatisations through open procedures, restricted procedures and public offerings pursuant to the Securities Markets Code (the terms of it are different in each diploma).

7.2 Are there special rules in relation to PPPs and what are the principal issues that arise in relation to them?

Decree-Law no. 111/2012, of 23 May, defines the applicable rules to State PPPs.

The main issues related to PPPs are budget impact and the need to evaluate the affordability of the contract, as well as the risk sharing between public and private parties.

The launch and awarding of a PPP depend on certain requirements, such as:

- i) The study of the predictable budget impacts.
- ii) The clear enunciation of the partnership goals to the public sector and of the private partnership’s expected results.
- iii) The framing of partnership models and contractual structures that ensure, namely, that the financial effort of the public partner is divided with adequate terms and budget affordability.
- iv) The detailed identification of the risks to be assumed by each of the partners (the different risks of the partnership must be allocated between the parties in accordance with their ability to manage those risks).

The diploma also rules the renegotiation of PPPs.

8 Enforcement

8.1 Is there a culture of enforcement either by public or private bodies?

The PCAC expressly determines the binding nature of Court judgments, stating that Court decisions are binding either to public or private entities and take precedence over any administrative act.

We think that there is a culture of enforcement, but it is hard to say the number of cases in which sentences are spontaneously enforced as there are no statistics on the subject.

8.2 What national cases in the last 12 months have confirmed/classified an important point of public procurement law?

The Portuguese Supreme Administrative Court has recently requested a preliminary ruling to the European Court of Justice on the possibility of a bid award criterion to include the evaluation of the team to be allocated to the performance of the contract (which may constitute a test to the *Lianakis* jurisprudence).

9 The Future

9.1 Are there any proposals to change the law and if so what is the timescale for these and what is their likely impact?

There are no known public initiatives concerning a change to the public procurement law (apart from the transposition of the new Procurement Directives, due in 2016).

9.2 Are any measures being taken to increase access to public procurement markets for small and medium-sized enterprises and other underrepresented categories of bidders?

There are no known public initiatives to increase access to public procurement markets for such enterprises (we will have to wait for the transposition of the new Procurement Directives).



Margarida Olazabal Cabral

Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados
Rua Castilho, 165, 1070-050 Lisboa
Portugal

Tel: +351 213 817 407
Fax: +351 213 817 498
Email: mocabral@mlgts.pt
URL: www.mlgts.pt

Margarida Olazabal Cabral joined the firm in 1998 and became a non-equity partner in 2010. She is a member of the administrative and public procurement and urban planning and environment teams.

Margarida is highly specialised in administrative law, mainly in areas related to public contracts. She has experience with pre-contractual matters (public tenders), as well as with the execution of public contracts on behalf of public entities and private companies. In recent years a major part of her activity has been dedicated to public-private partnerships. She is listed in the International Who's Who of Public Procurement Lawyers and as a leading Public Procurement specialist by Chambers Global. She teaches at the Portuguese Catholic University LL.M. programme.

Margarida is the author of several publications and books on public procurement and is often invited to participate as a main speaker in lectures in the scope of administrative law (public procurement and administrative litigation).



Ana Robin de Andrade

Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados
Rua Castilho, 165, 1070-050 Lisboa
Portugal

Tel: +351 213 817 443
Fax: +351 213 817 498
Email: anarandrade@mlgts.pt
URL: www.mlgts.pt

Ana Robin de Andrade joined the firm in 2000. She is a senior associate with the administrative and public procurement and urban planning and environment teams.

Ana also collaborates with the Africa Team - an internal structure that guarantees, on a permanent basis, and in close cooperation with the member firms of the MLGTS Legal Circle, personalised day-to-day assistance to clients on matters that involve the African Portuguese-speaking countries - in which she regularly assists clients in the areas of administrative and public procurement law.

Ana practises mainly in the areas of public procurement and urban planning, as well as in procedural matters and administrative litigation. A major part of her practice is also dedicated to concession contracts under a project finance regime.

Ana Robin de Andrade often participates in seminars and conferences related to public procurement and administrative litigation matters.

MORAIS LEITÃO
GALVÃO TELES
SOARES DA SILVA

Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados is an independent full-service law firm and one of the leading law firms in Portugal, with more than 180 lawyers and offices in Lisbon, Porto and Funchal (Madeira).

We have a significant international practice in all major areas of law and represent multinational corporations, international financial institutions, sovereign governments and their agencies, as well as domestic corporations and financial institutions.

To address the needs of our clients throughout the world, particularly in the Portuguese-speaking countries, the firm established the MLGTS Legal Circle, an international network based upon the sharing of values and common principles of action with the purpose of establishing a platform that delivers high quality legal services to clients around the globe. It encompasses a select set of jurisdictions, including Portugal, Angola, Mozambique and Macau (China). We also maintain close contacts with major law firms in Europe, the United States and South Africa, and are the sole Portuguese member of Lex Mundi, the world's leading association of independent law firms.

Our Public Law team, based in Lisbon and Porto, is widely recognised for its in-depth knowledge in all aspects of administrative law and all matters related therewith. We seek to find the appropriate creative solutions to meet clients' needs both in the private and in the public sector. Regarding public procurement, our expertise in the field of pre-contract procedures and the implementation of agreements should be highlighted, as should our provision of specialised services on a day-to-day basis to private entities (ranging from drafting proposals to entering and implementing agreements) and contracting entities (ranging from designing agreements, and the appropriate procedure to adopt through to the termination of the agreement).

Other titles in the ICLG series include:

- Alternative Investment Funds
- Aviation Law
- Business Crime
- Cartels & Leniency
- Class & Group Actions
- Competition Litigation
- Construction & Engineering Law
- Copyright
- Corporate Governance
- Corporate Immigration
- Corporate Recovery & Insolvency
- Corporate Tax
- Data Protection
- Employment & Labour Law
- Environment & Climate Change Law
- Franchise
- Gambling
- Insurance & Reinsurance
- International Arbitration
- Lending & Secured Finance
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- Merger Control
- Mergers & Acquisitions
- Mining Law
- Oil & Gas Regulation
- Patents
- Pharmaceutical Advertising
- Private Client
- Private Equity
- Product Liability
- Project Finance
- Real Estate
- Securitisation
- Shipping Law
- Telecoms, Media & Internet
- Trade Marks

